MAPPING REPORT

Frank González and Vasily Titov

16-18 May 2001 NTHMP Steering Group Meeting Portland, OR

Input from the States was received, and Individual State Summaries were prepared. These are presented below including, where available, a detailed State report.

The information was also used to update the following cost and production tables from the "Lessons Learned" report presented at the last Steering Group meeting in Hilo, Hawaii.

Table 1. Inundation mapping funding history, in thousands of dollars after taxes.

	FY97	FY98	FY99	FY00	FY01	Totals
ALASKA		97.6		58.8	37.8	194.2
CALIFORNIA		97.6		58.8	37.8	194.2
HAWAII			97.6	58.8	37.8	194.2
OR& WA	195.2		97.6		75.6	368.4
TIME	195.2	195.2	195.2	176.3	188.9	950.8
Totals	390.4	390.4	390.4	352.7	377.7	1901.8

Table 2. Mapping Effort cost and production estimates, including TIME operating costs, excluding State in-kind support.

	4 States	AK	CA	OR	WA
Funding	\$ 1,513.6 K	\$ 388.4 K	\$ 388.4 K	\$ 368.4 K	\$ 368.4 K
Modeling Efforts	13	1	4	6	2
Cost/Modeling Effort	\$ 116.4 K	\$ 388.4 K	\$ 97.1 K	\$ 61.4 K	\$ 170.8 K
Years to Completion	3.5-4.5	3.5	3.5	4.5	4.5
Modeling Effort/Year		0.3	1.1	1.3	0.4

ALASKA MAY 2001 MAP REPORT

SUMMARY OF EVACUATION & INUNDATION MAPS

COMMUNITY	Popul.	Priority	Iunund.	Inund.	Evac.	Evac.
	(Yr 2000)		Begun	Complete	Begun	Complete
Kodiak	6,334			V	?	
USCG Base	1,840			$\sqrt{}$?	
Women's Bay	690				?	
Seward 1	2,830	1				
Sitka	8,835	2				
Sand Point	952	3				
Homer	3,946	4	V			
Seldovia	286	5	V			
Unalaska	4,283	6				
Yakutat	680	7				
Whittier	182	8				
Cordova	2,454	9				
Cold Bay	88	10				
Ketchikan	7,922	10				
King Cove	792	10				
Petersburg	3,224	10				
Valdez	4,036	10				
Wrangell	2,308	10				
TOTALS	51,682		2	3	3 ?	

 $^{^{1}}$ NOAA's RAINIER scheduled for 13 August - 30 September 2001 survey of Seward approaches

HIGHLIGHTS OF GI-UAF REPORT (ATTACHED)

- KODIAK INUNDATION MODEL RUNS
 - 1964 Scenario: Good agreement with available inundation data
 - 4 Additional hypothetical earthquake scenarios (See GI-UAF Report for earthquake parameters)
- RESULTS
 - Worst Case is the 1964 earthquake scenario
- PRODUCTION OF LARGE-SCALE MAPS
 - 5 Preliminary Inundation Maps and Data Transferred to Alaska Division of Geological and Geophysical Surveys for Large-Scale Map Production

ALASKA MAY 2001 MAP REPORT

May 1, 2000

Report from the Geophysical Institute, UAF:

1. The 1964 tsunami wave was modeled using the source function which consists of 18 subfaults, each having its own parameters. Because of the proximity of the three Kodiak communities to the source, the slip distribution of the 1964 earthquake becomes very important in inundation modeling. Results of numerical modeling of the 1964 inundation zone for Kodiak City and US Coast Guard Base agree closely with the observed inundation data gathered after the tsunami event in March 1964. Then, numerical calculations were performed for 5 different hypothetical earthquake scenarios. These scenarios considered potential sources of tsunami waves that can affect the Kodiak Island communities. The following table summarizes some characteristics of source models used to generate the hypothetical tsunamis:

Scenarios for hypothetical	Number of	Moment	Mw
earthquakes	subfaults	(Nm)	
The 1964 earthquake modeled as one fault	1	8.2 x 1022	9.2
Lower ìKodiakî asperity of the 1964 rupture	8	2.2 x 1022	8.8
zone			
Lower ìKodiakî asperity of the 1964 rupture	1	2.2 x 1022	8.8
zone modeled as one fault			
An Alaska megathrust earthquake with	1	5.6 x 1022	9.1
rupture area consisting of the 1938 rupture			
zone plus Shumagin gap			
A Cascadia subduction zone earthquake	1	3.2 x 1022	8.9

Numerical analysis has shown that the worst case tsunami scenario for the three Kodiak communities is still the inundation caused by the 1964 event. Comparison between the two source models for the 1964 tsunami indicates that using the source model of 18 subfaults with detailed slip distribution within the rupture area produces the inundation line closest to that observed in 1964.

Inundation maps were constructed for every scenario. The maps have been transferred to the Alaska Division of Geological and Geophysical Surveys for production of the large scale inundation maps.

2. On April 26th, 2000, a meeting of the NTHMP participants form Alaska (Roger Hansen, Elena Suleimani, Rod Combellick, Gary Brown), Kodiak Emergency Consul, Dr. Gary Carver, consulting geologist, Patrick Carlson, the Borough manager, and Linda Freed, the Kodiak City Manager, was held at the Kodiak Borough offices. The ATM group presented results of the inundation modeling for the three communities in Kodiak: Kodiak City, USCG base and Womens Bay. The base maps that were offered for discussion consisted of 6 inundation lines for every community. There was a very useful discussion of the inundation mapping results. It was pointed out that there is a discrepancy in the extent of the modeled 1964 inundation zone for Womens Bay and the observed inundation, according to local sources. Also, two other tsunami scenarios were

suggested: an earthquake in the Yakataga region and the offshore earthquake produced by a set of local faults just offshore of the Kodiak Island. The Kodiak Borough officials plan to make evacuation maps using their own resources. The ATM group will write a technical report that will accompany inundation maps.

CALIFORNIA MAY 2001 MAP REPORT

SUMMARY OF EVACUATION & INUNDATION MAPS

AREAS	Popul.	Priority	Iunund.	Inund.	Evac.	Evac.
Communities	(Yr 2000)		Begun	Complete	Begun	Complete
SAN FRANSAN MATEO	776,733			V	V	-
San Francisco						
Daly City						
Pacifica						
Moss Beach						
Half Moon Bay						
Martins Beach						
San Gregorio State Beach						
Pomponio State Beach						
Pescadero State Beach						
Bean Hollow State Beach	2 (04 920			√ ·	√ V	
LOS ANGELES-S. MONICA Topanga St. Beach	3,694,820			V	V	
Pacific Palisades						
Santa Monica						
Venice						
Marina Del Rey						
Playa Del Rey						
Dockweiler St. Beach						
El. Segundo						
Manhattan Beach						
Hermosa Beach						
Redondo Beach						
Torrance Beach						
Palos Verdes						
SANTA BARBARA	92,325			√		
Point Conception						
St. Augustine Beach						
Gaviota Coast						
El Capitan St. Beach						
Goleta						
Santa Barbara						
Serena						
Sandyland						
Capenteria				1		
SAN DIEGO	1,223,400			√		
Oceanside						
Carlsbad Leucadia						
Encinitas						
Cardiff						
Solana Beach						
Del Mar						
Torrey Pines						
La Jolla						
Mission Beach						
Ocean Beach						
Coronado						
Silver Strand						
San Diego Harbor						
CRESCENT CITY ¹	4,006				√	
SANTA CRUZ COUNTY		1				
Capitola		1				
Santa Cruz		1				
Davenport		1			-	
Palm Beach		1				
MONTEREY COUNTY		1	V			
Marina		1	V			
Seaside		1	V			
Pacific Grove		1	V			
Moss Landing		1	√			
Seaside		1	V			
Carmel		1	V			
Slates Hot Springs		1	√			
Lucia		1	√			
Pacific Valley		1	√			

 $^{^{1}\}mbox{Pre-NTHMP 1-D}$ modeling is basis for evacuation maps.

CALIFORNIA MAY 2001 MAP REPORT

2000-2002 WORK PLAN

(Adopted by the California Tsunami Steering Committee at March meeting in Burlingame, CA)

GENERAL PLAN

- Transfer all Mitigation funds to the Mapping effort
- Complete preliminary inundation estimates for entire California coast by September 2002

SPECIFIC TASKS

• Complete inundation modeling, using current methodology, for

Santa Cruz County Monterey County

Status: Computational grids under construction

Schedule: May 2001: Computational grids completed

Oct 2001: Preliminary results

• Determine priority for inundation projections to high-population coastlines of

Orange County
Los Angeles County
Ventura County
San Luis Obispo County

Status: Under discussion

• Determine optimum approach to estimate inundation of low-population coastlines of

Del Norte County Humboldt County Mendocino County Sonoma County Marin County

Status: Under discussion

CALIFORNIA MAY 2001 MAP REPORT

PLANNING MEETING HELD 27 APRIL 2001

- USC staff met with OES GIS staff and were introduced to the GIS software ArcView. A prototype dataset for the Monterey Bay region was set from 4 distinct data sources. This exercise was very valuable and set up a working relationship between people at the operations level from each group.
- The USC and OES staffers verbally agreed to continue the working relationship at this level to produce data sets for the rest of the California coast. Starting with Monterey, going next to the Central Coast and later to the North Coast.
- USC is going to tailor its operations to produce GIS friendly data sets for future model output. This will facilitate the transfer of information between the two offices.
 - USC should have a GIS system working by the end of the summer.

HAWAII MAY 2001 MAP REPORT

- DISTANT MODELING PROJECT (Fai Cheung, P.I.)
 Fai Cheung has completed the project " Modeling of Distant Generated Tsunami"
- LOCAL MODELING PROJECT (Gerard Fryer, P.I.)
 - Expect to finish Kona and Honolulu by August
 - Expect to have preliminary Kalapana and South Maui results by August

HAWAII MAY 2001 MAP REPORT

Subject: Hawaii Mapping Activities

Date: Tue, 24 Apr 2001 09:36:33 +0000

From: cheung@oe.eng.hawaii.edu
To: gonzalez@pmel.noaa.gov
CC: byanagi@scd.state.hi.us

Frank,

We have completed the project "Modeling of Distant Generated Tsunami". Below is a summary of the work performed.

Fai.

We have developed an algorithm to compute the tsunami waveforms at specified locations based on water-level data near the source. The inverse method, which uses water-level data to infer seismic source parameters, has been extended to predict tsunami waveforms away from the source. The study focused on the Aleutian-Alaska source region and its potential threat to Hawaii. In the algorithm, the source region is divided into 41 sub-faults based on previous analyses of major tsunamigenic earthquakes from 1938 to 1986. A linear long wave model was used to develop a database of synthetic mareograms at 14 water-level stations near the source and at six locations, where the tsunami waveforms need to be predicted. In the event of a tsunami, a least-squares routine uses the predetermined mareograms to reconstruct the tsunami signals at the water-level stations and evaluate the expected waveform offshore of Hawaii. A jackknife re-sampling scheme provides the confidence interval bounds of the prediction.

The algorithm has been shown to be internally consistent through simulated water levels of the 1946 Aleutian Earthquake and verified by recorded water-level data of the 1964 Prince William Sound and 1996 Andreanov earthquakes. It accurately predicts the moment magnitude of the earthquakes as well as the first few waves of the subsequent tsunamis. Although the database was constructed using historical events from 1938 to 1986, the algorithm can produce accurate predictions beyond this period. The Pacific Tsunami Warning Center plans to use the algorithm on a testing and evaluation mode. Future research along this line includes extension of the algorithm and database to cover the Japan-Kuril-Kamchatka and Peru-Chile source regions and to provide the expected runup and confidence interval bounds along the Hawaiian coastlines from predicted offshore waveforms.

OREGON MAY 2001 MAP REPORT

SUMMARY OF EVACUATION & INUNDATION MAPS

COMMUNITY	Population (Yr 2000)	Priority	Iunund. Begun	Inund. Complete	Evac. Begun	Evac. Complete ²
Astoria	9,813			V		V
Bandon	2,833	5				V
Brookings	5,447	7			V	
Cannon Beach	1,588					V
Coos Bay	15,374			V		
Depoe Bay	1,100				V	
Florence	7,263	3				V
Gardiner						
Gearhart	995					
Gold Beach	1,897			$\sqrt{}$	$\sqrt{}$	
Lincoln City	7,437					
Manzanita	564					
Neskowin					$\sqrt{}$	
Newport	9,532			$\sqrt{}$	$\sqrt{}$	
Pacific City	1,027				$\sqrt{}$	
Port Orford	1,055				$\sqrt{}$	
Reedsport	4,378	6				
Rockaway Beach	1,267	2				
Salmon Cove						
Seaside	5,900			$\sqrt{}$		V
Siletz Bay ¹				\checkmark		
Waldport ³	2,050	1	$\sqrt{}$			V
Warrenton	4,096			V		V
Winchester Bay	488	6				V
Yachats	617					
TOTALS	82,566 +		1	7	7	15

¹Pre-NTHMP (Priest, et al., 1995)

²If NTHMP inundation map not completed, Priest's 1995 Tsunami Hazard Maps was used.

³Bathy-Topo data search underway. At current funding level, completion expected in 2002.

WASHINGTON MAY 2001 MAP REPORT

SUMMARY OF EVACUATION & INUNDATION MAPS

### CAPERT C. 20.855 ### Caper	COUNTY: Population North Cove	Population (Yr 2000)	Priority	Iunund. Begun	Inund. Complete	Evac. Begun	Evac. Complete
Tabeland							
Second 1,897							
South Board 1,907							
Reg Center							
### Operation							-
Nahoenta		174					
Decay 1,459							
Algorithms 1,288							
Lang Roach 1,38		1,459					
Serview							
Jacob 959		1,283					
GRAYS H.: 67,463 S24	Seaview						
Tabolah S24		950			√		√
Machins							
Samset Blacch		824			√		√
	Moclips	615			√		√
Roosevol Baceh 79	Sunset Beach				√		√ V
Inn Springs	Ocean Grove				√		√
International Content 188	Roosevelt Beach	79			√		√
Copalis Basch 489					√		√
Decent City		489			√		
Dybat							
Second Shores Sassis Sas							-
Hoguium							
Aberdeen 1.6.461							
1.595							
Markham							
Decota Start Sta							
Bay City		93					
Vestport							
Cohasset							
Secretar							-
JEFFERSON: 26,275							
Calland Call		1,002			٧		V
Queets							
CLALLAM: 64,273							
La Push							
Neah Bay							
Callam Bay							
Pysht Port Angeles 18,397 ? ? ?		794			√	?	
Port Angeles							
**JEFFERSON: 26,275 Port Townsend MASON: 49,826 PIERCE: 675,962 Tacoma Gig Harbor *KING: 1,654,329 Seattle SNOHOM: 585,487 Everett SILAND: 71,747 Whidbey Island SKAGIT: 102,979 Anacortes WHATCOM: 166,514 Bellingham SAN JUAN: 14,077 KITSAP: 232,933 Bremerton Port Orchard **PUGET SOUND All Puget Sound* **PUGET SOUND **PUGET SOU	Pysht						
Port Townsend		18,397			√	?	
MASON: 49,826 PIERCE: 675,962 193,556 Gig Harbor 6,465 Seattle SNOHOM.: 585,487 Everett 91,488 ISLAND: 71,747 Whidbey Island SKAGIT: 102,979 Anacortes 14,557 WHATCOM: 166,514 Bellingham SAN JUAN: 14,077 KITSAP: 232,933 Bremerton Port Orchard "PUGET SOUND All Puget Sound 193,556 6,465 SCAGIT: 193,556 193,556 194,557 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	¹ JEFFERSON: 26,275						
PIERCE: 675,962 193,556	Port Townsend	8,334			√	?	
PIERCE: 675,962 193,556							
Tacoma	PIERCE: 675,962						
Gig Harbor 6,465		193,556					
Seattle	Gig Harbor						
Seattle South S							
SNOHOM.: 585,487 91,488		563,374		√			
Everett 91,488							
ISLAND: 71,747		91.488					
Whidbey Island 1 ————————————————————————————————————		>2,130					
SKAGIT: 102,979 Anacortes 14,557 1 WHATCOM: 166,514 Bellingham SAN JUAN: 14,077 KITSAP: 232,933 Bremerton Port Orchard MPUGET SOUND All Puget Sound All Puget Sound Macortes 14,557 1 MACORTEC SUMB All Puget Sound 14,557 1 MACORTEC SUMB MACO			1				
Anacortes WHATCOM: 166,514 Bellingham SAN JUAN: 14,077 KITSAP: 232,933 Bremerton Port Orchard **PUGET SOUND All Puget Sound* All Puget Sound* **PUGET SOUND **PUGET SOUND All Puget Sound* **I 1,557 1							
WHATCOM: 166,514 67,171 1 Bellingham 67,171 1 SAN JUAN: 14,077 5 5 KITSAP: 232,933 5 5 Bremerton 37,259 5 Port Orchard 7,693 5 MPUGET SOUND 5 5 All Puget Sound² √ 6		14 557	1	+			
Bellingham 67,171 1 <t< td=""><td></td><td>14,55/</td><td>1</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></t<>		14,55/	1				
SAN JUAN: 14,077		27 171	1	1			
KITSAP: 232,933 Bremerton		6/,1/1	1				
Bremerton 37,259							
Port Orchard 7,693 MPUGET SOUND Image: Sound of the control of		2= 2					
MPUGET SOUND All Puget Sound² √							
All Puget Sound ² √		7,693					
TOTALS				√			
	TOTALS						

¹OGI Finite Element Computational Grid

²TSUNM2 30m Finite Difference Computational Grid

³TSUNM2 90m Finite Difference Computational Grid

⁴Landslide Potential Assessment and Source Modeling Needed

WASHINGTON 2002-2006 MAPPING PLANS

FUNDAMENTAL ISSUES TO BE ADDRESSED

- Probabilities/Risk/Priorities of Puget Sound vs. Pacific Coast, St. Juan de Fuca
- Landslide Potential Assessment
- Landslide Source Modeling
- Probabilistic Methodologies
- GIS Exploitation

• EVACUATION MAP PUBLISHING

- Seattle
- INUNDATION MAPPING
 - Bellingham
 - Whidbey Island
 - Additional Puget Sound Communities?
 - Refined V.2.0 Mapping of Specific Pacific Coast Communities?